Ma. Angela Leonor C. Aguinaldo

# **East Meets West**

Development of Mutual Legal Assistance in Criminal Matters between and within the Association of Southeast Asian Nations and the European Union



Nomos

| Nomos Universitätsschriften       |  |
|-----------------------------------|--|
| Nomos Universitätsschriften Recht |  |
|                                   |  |
| Recht                             |  |

Ma. Angela Leonor C. Aguinaldo

## **East Meets West**

Development of Mutual Legal Assistance in Criminal Matters between and within the Association of Southeast Asian Nations and the European Union



**Nomos** 

The book processing charge was funded by the Baden-Württemberg Ministry of Science, Research and Arts in the funding programme Open Access Publishing and the University of Freiburg.

#### The Deutsche Nationalbibliothek lists this publication in the

Deutsche Nationalbibliografie; detailed bibliographic data are available on the Internet at http://dnb.d-nb.de

a.t.: Freiburg, Univ., Diss., 2020

original title: East Meets West: Development of Mutual Legal Assistance in Criminal Matters Between and Within the Association of Southeast Asian Nations and the European Union

ISBN (Print): 978-3-8487-7983-3 ISBN (ePDF): 978-3-7489-2113-4

#### **British Library Cataloguing-in-Publication Data**

A catalogue record for this book is available from the British Library.

ISBN (Print): 978-3-8487-7983-3 ISBN (ePDF): 978-3-7489-2113-4

#### Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Aguinaldo, Ma. Angela Leonor C.

East Meets West

Development of Mutual Legal Assistance in Criminal Matters between and within the Association of Southeast Asian Nations and the European Union

Ma. Angela Leonor C. Aguinaldo

710 pp.

Includes bibliographic references. ISBN (Print): 978-3-8487-7983-3 ISBN (ePDF): 978-3-7489-2113-4

1st Edition 2021

© Ma. Angela Leonor C. Aguinaldo

Published by

Nomos Verlagsgesellschaft mbH & Co. KG Waldseestraße 3–5 | 76530 Baden-Baden www.nomos.de

Production of the printed version: Nomos Verlagsgesellschaft mbH & Co. KG Waldseestraße 3–5 | 76530 Baden-Baden

ISBN (Print): 978-3-8487-7983-3 ISBN (ePDF): 978-3-7489-2113-4

DOI: https://doi.org/10.5771/9783748921134



This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution – ShareAlike 4.0 International License.



Onlineversion Nomos eLibrary

#### Abstract

The Association of Southeast Asian Nations ("ASEAN") and the European Union ("EU") have in 2019 entered into a strategic partnership, which includes a renewed commitment to pursue stronger cooperation in combating terrorism and transnational crime as well as improvements of their respective infrastructures. The present study is mainly interested on how mutual legal assistance ("MLA") in criminal matters could develop between and within the ASEAN and the EU. The study applied a comparative criminal law approach in answering the research question by comparing the regional frameworks with each other as well as with their respective member state frameworks, which includes not only a look into the law in books but also the law in practice.

Mutual legal assistance can be further developed within and between the ASEAN and the EU if considerable weight is given to the two regional organizations' distinguishing features which then become the basis to understand how they are as international actors in terms of their decision and policymaking: intergovernmentalism v. supranationalism, principle of non-intervention and the ASEAN Way v. normative and hegemonic power, and harmonization v. approximation. In sum, any interregional treaty would be successful if each would take into account the other's values and neither party would dominate the determination of terms. Further, one must note that any lack of harmonization does not necessarily result in inefficiency of the cooperation mechanism. Member states are able to make the cooperation mechanism or mutual legal assistance work. The existence of approximation or the acceptance of minimum standards as well as open communication helps in building and maintaining (almost) smooth operations with each other.

Taking these into account, the present study came up with different suggestions ranging from the MLA within the regional frameworks (involving their own member states), the groundwork for the development of MLA between the two regional organizations, and suggestions for both substantial and procedural provisions that could be included in the possible MLA regime between the ASEAN and the EU. These suggestions mainly start with the least common denominators and non-negotiables of each regional organization in efforts to find agreement between the regional and member state frameworks.

#### Abstract

In summary, the comparative criminal approach used by the present study in comparing the regional frameworks of the ASEAN and the EU, and the respective member state frameworks of the Philippines, Malaysia, the UK, and Germany, including a comparison of the law in books and the law of practice, would ultimately show that a mutual legal assistance regime could indeed be developed between and within the ASEAN and the EU. There is no need for the imposition of will of one regional organization on the other on what it thinks the other should do or practice. Instead, by building a common understanding of their respective frameworks and that of the other, as well as a common acceptance of the minimum principles, ideals and norms based on their differences, a formal international cooperation mechanism is highly plausible.

#### Acknowledgments

"We are like the little branch that quivers during a storm, doubting our strength and forgetting we are the tree deeply rooted to withstand all life's upheavals." – Dodinsky

Undertaking a doctoral research and producing a written manuscript thereafter has not been an easy process. It can bring you to the highest peaks of lightness but it can also bring you to the deepest crevices of darkness. It speaks to the core of your humanity – your human personality – and tests your mental acuity, faith, resilience and courage especially in the darkest hours. It can be a lonely endeavor because you feel that you are left alone within the sphere of your individual research and undertakings. But then again, after undergoing such process I am deeply grateful that I made it through this bittersweet and rewarding endeavor. I have found a new sense of self and also, I discovered that I have always been deeply rooted to withstand all the upheavals.

How deep my roots go to withstand all the challenges of this research would have not been possible without the grace from the Almighty God. Thus, my gratitude should first go to Him. As He promised in Psalm 32:8, He guides me along the best pathway for my life and He shall advise me and watch over me. This entire work is a testament of God's love and grace and it is only right that any glory should be given back to Him.

Through God's grace He sent forth angels and instrumentalities that helped me undertake all of this work. I would be forever grateful to Kevin who has been part of this process every step of the way. We weathered the storm together and you have always reminded me of who I am and what I can be, especially when I have questioned my own worth and work on numerous occasions. The same goes with my parents and family, who despite being miles away from me, have never lacked in loving and supporting me. Although I have never been truly open about the personal demons and ordeals I face, they never lacked in showing me love and pushing me further. This especially applies to my Papa, who would always be my idol and stark supporter, who supported me in my decision to pursue my doctoral research. I love you.

The same amount of thanks belongs to my close friends and sisters here in Europe: Leah, Vena, and Chinky. Your moral support has been priceless and being here in Europe has been less lonelier knowing that the

#### Acknowledgments

three of you would always have my back. Thank you for being there and supporting me along the way. Finishing my work would have not been possible without your love and support.

I am forever grateful to Hanns Seidel Foundation Philippines, especially to the late Paul Schäffer and Ms. Carole Lee, for the support they have given me especially during my first year in Germany. As promised, I will pay forward the kindness and support you have given me. I am also deeply grateful and will be forever indebted to the Max Planck Institute for Foreign and International Criminal Law (now Max Planck Institute for the Study of Crime, Security and Law) and the International Max Planck Research School for Comparative Criminal Law for taking me in and trusting my capabilities as the first and only Filipino doctoral researcher in your institute. I extend this gratitude most especially to my doctoral supervisor, Prof. Ulrich Sieber, my day-to-day tutor, Mr. Thomas Wahl, and my research coordinator, Dr. Nandor Knust. Thank you very much Prof. Sieber for taking me under your wing. I believe it was fate that allowed me to meet you and Mr. Schäffer during that Criminal Code Meeting in the Philippines. It has been a privilege and honor to be under your tutelage. I always hope that I make you proud as your doctoral student. Thomas, thank you for the valuable inputs you gave for me to improve my work. Through the inputs and help you provided I learned to work past my limits and give more than what I thought I could only give. Through you and Prof. Sieber, I re-realized that I have the spirit of Arete in me: the virtue of excellence to always go stronger, faster, and higher.

I would also like to express my gratitude to those who assisted me in trying to understand better the practice of mutual legal assistance. Thank you to the Philippine Department of Justice and Department of Foreign Affairs, Atty. Mildred Bernadette Alvor, Atty. Arnold Frane, PNP NUP Josephine Quieta, Atty. Vida Soraya Versoza, Attorney General's Chamber of Malaysia, Ms. Sitti Maulana Malap, Mr. Azlan Aziz, Atty. Edmun Bon Tai Soon, the ASEANAPOL and its former Executive Director General Yohanes Agus Mulyono and former Director Superintendent Ferdinand Bartolome, Ms. Yuli Cahyanti, Mr. Till Gut, Mr. Christian Schierholt, Mr. Klaus Hoffman, Ms. Gabrielle Launhardt, Ms. Elise McGrath, Ms. Catherine Hanna, Mr. David Dickson, and Mr. Nick Vamos. Thank you for taking time out of your busy schedules to entertain my inquiries and grant my requests for interviews.

Lastly, I am grateful to the people who I have met during this journey. I am also thankful not only for the people who stayed by my side through the ups and downs but also the people who have been instrumental in

Acknowledgments

influencing the person I become. Thank you for reminding me of my non-negotiables, of who I am and who I can become, and being deeply rooted for the years to come. May I make you proud in the actions and decisions I make. God bless you always.

https://www.nomos-shop.de/isbn/978-3-8487-7983-3

### Overview of Contents

| Abl  | bbreviations   |     |  |
|------|--|-----|--|
| Int  | roduction  | 31  |  |
| I.   | Background of the Study  | 31  |  |
| II.  | Objectives of the Study  | 41  |  |
| III. | Methodology  | 43  |  |
| IV.  | Structure of the Study   | 48  |  |
| Par  | t 1: The Association of Southeast Asian Nations (ASEAN)              | 50  |  |
| I.   | Regional Framework   | 51  |  |
| II.  | Implementation in the member state level: Philippines                | 158 |  |
| III. | Implementation in the member state level: Malaysia                   | 238 |  |
| IV.  | Comparing the Philippines and Malaysia with the Regional Framework   | 280 |  |
| Par  | t 2: The European Union  | 307 |  |
| I.   | Regional Framework   | 308 |  |
| II.  | Implementation in Member State: United Kingdom                       | 493 |  |
| III. | Implementation in Member state: Germany                              | 546 |  |
| IV.  | Comparing the United Kingdom and Germany with the Regional Framework | 584 |  |
| Par  | t 3: Comparing and Contrasting the ASEAN and the EU                  | 608 |  |
| I.   | Comparing the Regional Frameworks                                    | 608 |  |
| II.  | Comparing the Member State Frameworks with Each Other                | 645 |  |
|      |  |     |  |

### Overview of Contents

| Pai | ASEAN and the EU   | 661 |
|-----|--|-----|
| I.  | Lessons Learned  | 661 |
| II. | Suggestions for Developing Mutual Legal Assistance: Least<br>Common Denominators | 668 |
| Su  | mmary, Conclusion and Further Recommendations                                    | 681 |
| Bib | oliography   | 687 |

| Ab   | breviations   | 25  |  |  |  |
|------|---|-----|--|--|--|
| Int  | roduction   | 31  |  |  |  |
| I.   | Background of the Study   |     |  |  |  |
|      | A. Towards a Strategic Partnership between the Association of Southeast Asian Nations ("ASEAN") and the European Union ("EU") | 31  |  |  |  |
|      | B. Long-Standing Relationship between the ASEAN and the EU  | 32  |  |  |  |
| II.  | Objectives of the Study   | 41  |  |  |  |
| III. | Methodology   | 43  |  |  |  |
|      | A. Regional-level analysis  | 43  |  |  |  |
|      | B. Member state level analysis  | 44  |  |  |  |
|      | Selection of member state samples     Historical development local forms work and   | 44  |  |  |  |
|      | 2. Historical development, legal framework, and   | 4.5 |  |  |  |
|      | implementation C. Comparison of the Regional Frameworks with their  | 45  |  |  |  |
|      | respective Member State Frameworks  | 46  |  |  |  |
|      | D. Comparison and Contrast of ASEAN and EU Frameworks   | 47  |  |  |  |
|      | E. Evaluation, Analysis, and Anticipation; Lessons learned  | 47  |  |  |  |
|      | ,   |     |  |  |  |
| IV.  | Structure of the Study  | 48  |  |  |  |
| Paı  | rt 1:The Association of Southeast Asian Nations (ASEAN)   | 50  |  |  |  |
| I.   | Regional Framework  | 51  |  |  |  |
|      | A. Historical Development   | 51  |  |  |  |
|      | 1. From Early Southeast Asia to Modern Southeast Asia   | 52  |  |  |  |
|      | a. Early Southeast Asia   | 52  |  |  |  |
|      | b. Building empires and colonies: East-West Relationship  | 55  |  |  |  |
|      | c. The Times of War   | 59  |  |  |  |
|      | d. New challenges while paving avenues for regional   |     |  |  |  |
|      | cooperation   | 65  |  |  |  |

|    | 2. | Historical Development of the ASEAN                   | 80  |
|----|----|---|-----|
|    |    | a. Consolidation Stage                                | 80  |
|    |    | b. Expansion Stage                                    | 89  |
|    |    | c. Reconsolidation Stage                              | 90  |
| В. | Pr | esent Institutional and Legal Framework               | 104 |
|    | 1. | ASEAN as a Regional Organization                      | 105 |
|    |    | The ASEAN Organizational Structure                    | 109 |
|    |    | a. ASEAN Summit                                       | 110 |
|    |    | b. ASEAN Coordinating Council                         | 110 |
|    |    | c. ASEAN Community Councils and ASEAN Sectoral        |     |
|    |    | Ministerial Bodies                                    | 111 |
|    |    | d. ASEAN Secretary-General and ASEAN Secretariat      | 112 |
|    |    | e. Committee of Permanent Representatives to the      |     |
|    |    | ASEAN   | 113 |
|    |    | f. ASEAN National Secretariats                        | 114 |
|    |    | g. ASEAN Intergovernmental Commission on Human        |     |
|    |    | Rights ("AICHR")                                      | 114 |
|    | 3. | ASEAN Fundamental Principles, Norms, and Practices    | 115 |
|    |    | a. Constitutional Principles                          | 116 |
|    |    | b. Normative Principles                               | 120 |
|    |    | c. Decision-making norms: ASEAN Way                   | 120 |
| C. |    | oss-border movement of evidence: ASEAN Mutual Legal   |     |
|    |    | sistance in Criminal Matters                          | 123 |
|    | 1. | Historical Development of ASEAN Mutual Legal          |     |
|    |    | Assistance  | 123 |
|    | 2. | Substantive Provisions: ASEAN MLAT                    | 135 |
|    |    | a. Applicability of Assistance                        | 135 |
|    |    | b. Types of Mutual Legal Assistance                   | 137 |
|    |    | c. Compatibility with Other Arrangements              | 137 |
|    |    | d. Principles, Conditions, and Exceptions Applicable  | 138 |
|    |    | i. Sufficiency of Evidence                            | 138 |
|    |    | ii. Dual Criminality                                  | 139 |
|    |    | iii. Double Jeopardy                                  | 140 |
|    |    | iv. Substantive Considerations of Human Rights        | 141 |
|    |    | Human Rights as a Ground to Refuse a MLA Request      | 142 |
|    | 2. | Limited Applicable Human Rights Obligations vis-à-vis |     |
|    |    | Ground for refusal; Severity of Punishment Issue      | 143 |
|    |    | v. Reciprocity  | 144 |
|    |    | vi. Speciality or use limitation                      | 145 |
|    |    | vii. Special Offenses and National Interest Cases     | 145 |

|     | 3.    | Pro   | cedural Provisions: ASEAN MLAT                           | 147 |
|-----|-------|-------|--|-----|
|     |       | a.    | Designation of Central Authorities                       | 147 |
|     |       | b.    | Preparation of Requests under the ASEAN MLAT             | 148 |
|     |       |       | i. Requirements for Requests                             | 148 |
|     |       |       | ii. Person or Authority Initiating the Request           | 150 |
|     |       | c.    | Execution of Requests                                    | 151 |
|     |       |       | i. Applicable Law on Execution                           | 151 |
|     |       |       | ii. Applicable Procedural Rights                         | 152 |
|     | 1.    | Im    | portance of Defense Rights                               | 152 |
|     |       |       | man Rights Considerations in Procedures Provided         | 153 |
|     | 3.    | De    | Gendant's Participation in the Execution of a MLA        |     |
|     |       | Re    | quest  | 155 |
|     |       |       | iii. Time Element on Execution                           | 155 |
|     |       |       | iv. Authentication of Documents                          | 156 |
|     |       |       | v. Importance of Confidentiality                         | 156 |
|     |       |       | vi. Return of Documents                                  | 157 |
|     |       |       | vii. Specific Procedures per Type of Assistance          |     |
|     |       |       | Rendered   | 157 |
| II. | Imple | eme   | ntation in the member state level: Philippines           | 158 |
|     | A. H  | isto1 | ical Development of Mutual Legal Assistance in           |     |
|     |       |       | nal Matters in the Philippines                           | 158 |
|     |       |       | nteral, Regional, and Multilateral MLA Treaties          | 158 |
|     |       |       | mestic Instruments on Mutual Legal Assistance            | 159 |
|     |       |       | ntive Provisions: Mutual Legal Assistance in Criminal    |     |
|     |       | atte  | e e  | 163 |
|     | 1.    | Ap    | plicability of Assistance                                | 163 |
|     |       |       | bes of Assistance Rendered                               | 165 |
|     |       |       | mpatibility with other Agreements                        | 169 |
|     |       |       | nciples, Conditions, and Exceptions                      | 171 |
|     |       | a.    | Sufficiency of Evidence Requirement                      | 172 |
|     |       | b.    | Dual Criminality   | 180 |
|     |       |       | Double Jeopardy  | 182 |
|     |       |       | Substantive Considerations of Human Rights               | 185 |
|     |       |       | i. Human Rights Considerations as Grounds to             |     |
|     |       |       | Refuse   | 186 |
|     |       |       | ii. Limited Applicable Human Rights Obligations vis-     |     |
|     |       |       | à-vis Grounds to Refuse; Severity of Punishment<br>Issue | 192 |
|     |       | 0     |  | 192 |
|     |       | С.    | Reciprocity  | 17/ |

| f. Speciality or Use Limitation                                | 198  |
|--|------|
| g. Special Offenses and National Interest Cases                | 199  |
| C. Procedural Provisions on Mutual Legal Assistance            | 200  |
| 1. Designation of Central Authority                            | 200  |
| 2. Preparation of Requests                                     | 201  |
| a. Requirements for Requests                                   | 201  |
| b. Person or Authority Initiating the Request                  | 203  |
| 3. Execution of Requests                                       | 204  |
| a. Applicable Law on Execution                                 | 204  |
| b. Applicable Procedural Rights                                | 205  |
| i. Importance of Defense Rights; Human Rights                  |      |
| Considerations in MLA and Criminal Processes in                |      |
| General  | 205  |
| ii. Human Rights Considerations in MLA and                     |      |
| Criminal Processes in General                                  | 205  |
| iii. Defendant's Participation in the Refusal or               |      |
| Execution of a MLA Request                                     | 217  |
| c. Applicable Time Element on Execution                        | 224  |
| d. Authentication of Documents                                 | 226  |
| e. Importance of Confidentiality                               | 230  |
| f. Return of Evidence  | 231  |
| g. Specific Procedures per Type of Assistance                  | 233  |
| III. Implementation in the member state level: Malaysia        | 238  |
| A. Historical Development of Mutual Legal Assistance           | 238  |
| 1. Bilateral, Regional, and Multilateral Mutual Legal          |      |
| Assistance Treaties  | 238  |
| 2. Domestic Legislation on Mutual Legal Assistance             | 239  |
| B. Substantive Provisions: Mutual Legal Assistance in Criminal |      |
| Matters  | 241  |
| 1. Applicability of Assistance                                 | 241  |
| 2. Types of Assistance Rendered                                | 242  |
| 3. Compatibility with Other Agreements                         | 244  |
| 4. Principles, Conditions, and Exemptions                      | 245  |
| a. Sufficiency of Evidence                                     | 246  |
| b. Dual Criminality  | 247  |
| c. Double Jeopardy   | 247  |
| d. Substantive Considerations of Human Rights                  | 252  |
| i. Applicable Human Rights Obligations vis-à-vis               |      |
| Mutual Legal Assistance  | 2.52 |

|     |          | ii. Limited Applicable Human Rights Obligations vis-    |     |
|-----|----------|---|-----|
|     |          | à-vis Grounds to Refuse; Severity of Punishment         |     |
|     |          | Issue   | 253 |
|     | e.       | Reciprocity   | 258 |
|     | f.       | Speciality or use limitation                            | 259 |
|     | g.       | Special Offenses and National Interest Cases            | 259 |
|     | C. Proce | dural Provisions: Mutual Legal Assistance               | 262 |
|     | 1. De    | esignation of Central Authority                         | 262 |
|     | 2. Pr    | eparation of Requests                                   | 263 |
|     | a.       | Requirements for Requests                               | 263 |
|     | b.       | Person or Authority Initiating the Request              | 266 |
|     | 3. Ex    | ecution of Requests                                     | 266 |
|     | a.       | 11  | 266 |
|     | b.       | Applicable Procedural Rights                            | 267 |
|     |          | i. Importance of Defense Rights; Human Rights           |     |
|     |          | Considerations in MLA and Criminal Processes in         |     |
|     |          | General   | 267 |
|     |          | ii. Human Rights Considerations in MLA and              |     |
|     |          | Criminal Processes in General                           | 270 |
|     |          | iii. Defendant's Participation in the Refusal or        |     |
|     |          | Execution of a MLA Request                              | 272 |
|     |          | Applicable Time Element on Execution                    | 274 |
|     |          | Authentication of Documents                             | 275 |
|     | e.       | Importance of Confidentiality                           | 278 |
|     | f.       | Return of Evidence                                      | 278 |
|     | g.       | Specific Procedures per Type of Assistance              | 279 |
| IV. | Compar   | ing the Philippines and Malaysia with the Regional      |     |
|     | Framewo  | , ,   | 280 |
|     | A. Need  | for Implementing Legislation and Harmonization of       |     |
|     |          | Framework   | 281 |
|     | _        | antive Provisions                                       | 284 |
|     |          | pplicability of Assistance                              | 284 |
|     |          | pes of Mutual Legal Assistance                          | 286 |
|     |          | ompatibility with other Arrangements                    | 287 |
|     |          | inciples, Conditions, and Exceptions                    | 288 |
|     |          | dural Provisions  | 297 |
|     |          | age of Vertical Cooperation in Mutual Legal Assistance: |     |
|     |          | entral Authorities                                      | 298 |
|     | 2. Pr    | eparation of Requests                                   | 298 |

|    | 3. Ex      | recution of Requests                                    | 300  |
|----|------------|---|------|
|    | a.         | Applicable Law  | 300  |
|    | b.         | Applicable Procedural Rights                            | 301  |
|    | c.         | Applicable Time Limits                                  | 302  |
|    | d.         | Authentication of Documents                             | 303  |
|    | e.         | Confidentiality   | 305  |
|    | f.         | Return of Documents                                     | 305  |
|    | g.         | Specific Procedures                                     | 306  |
| Pa | rt 2:The I | European Union  | 307  |
| I. | Regiona    | l Framework   | 308  |
|    | A. Histo   | orical Development                                      | 308  |
|    | 1. Ea      | arly Modern Ages  | 308  |
|    | a.         | Europe in the Early Ages                                | 308  |
|    | b.         | Building Empires and Colonies: East-West                |      |
|    |            | Relationship  | 314  |
|    |            | The Times of War  | 323  |
|    | d.         | New challenges while paving avenues toward regional     |      |
|    |            | integration   | 340  |
|    |            | uropean Union's Historical Development                  | 357  |
|    |            | Consolidation Stage                                     | 357  |
|    |            | Expansion Stage   | 361  |
|    |            | Reconsolidation Stage                                   | 369  |
|    |            | ent Institutional and Legal Framework                   | 375  |
|    |            | uropean Union as a Regional Organization                | 375  |
|    |            | U Organizational Structure                              | 387  |
|    |            | Commission  | 388  |
|    |            | European Parliament                                     | 392  |
|    |            | European Council  | 397  |
|    |            | Council   | 397  |
|    |            | Court of Justice of the European Union                  | 399  |
|    |            | U Fundamental Principles, Norms, and Practices          | 402  |
|    |            | Constitutional Principles                               | 403  |
|    |            | Normative Principles                                    | 409  |
|    |            | Decision-making principles                              | 409  |
|    |            | s-border movement of evidence: European Investigation   | 41 4 |
|    | Orde       |   | 414  |
|    |            | istorical Development of Mutual Legal Assistance in the | 11.4 |
|    | El         | J   | 414  |

|     | 2.    | Substantive Provisions: European Investigation Order      | 442 |
|-----|-------|---|-----|
|     |       | a. Applicability of Assistance                            | 442 |
|     |       | b. Types of Assistance                                    | 443 |
|     |       | c. Compatibility with other Arrangements                  | 444 |
|     |       | d. Principles, Conditions, and Exceptions Applicable      | 447 |
|     |       | i. Sufficiency of Evidence Requirement                    | 447 |
|     |       | ii. Dual Criminality                                      | 448 |
|     |       | iii. Double Jeopardy                                      | 450 |
|     |       | iv. Substantive Considerations of Human Rights            | 456 |
|     | 1.    | Human Rights Obligation as Ground to Refuse               |     |
|     |       | Recognition or Execution                                  | 456 |
|     | 2.    | Applicable Human Rights Obligations vis-à-vis Ground for  |     |
|     |       | refusal   | 462 |
|     |       | v. Reciprocity  | 466 |
|     |       | vi. Speciality or use limitation                          | 471 |
|     |       | vii. Special Offenses and National Interest Cases         | 474 |
|     | 3.    | Procedural Provisions: European Investigation Order       | 476 |
|     |       | a. Designation of Issuing and Executing Authorities       | 476 |
|     |       | b. Preparation of Requests                                | 478 |
|     |       | i. Requirements for Requests                              | 478 |
|     |       | ii. Person or Authority Initiating EIO                    | 479 |
|     |       | c. Execution of Requests                                  | 480 |
|     |       | i. Applicable Law on Execution                            | 480 |
|     |       | ii. Applicable Procedural Rights                          | 482 |
|     | 1.    | Importance of Defense Rights in the EIO                   | 482 |
|     | 2.    | Human Rights Considerations in Procedures Provided in     |     |
|     |       | the Recognition or Execution of an EIO                    | 483 |
|     | 3.    | Defendant's Participation in the Recognition or Execution |     |
|     |       | of an EIO   | 485 |
|     |       | iii. Applicable Time Element on Execution                 | 488 |
|     |       | iv. Authentication of Documents                           | 491 |
|     |       | v. Importance of Confidentiality                          | 491 |
|     |       | vi. Return of Evidence                                    | 492 |
|     |       | vii. Specific Procedures per Type of Assistance           | 493 |
| II. | Imple | ementation in Member State: United Kingdom                | 493 |
|     | A. H  | istorical Development                                     | 493 |
|     |       | Bilaterial, Regional, and Multilateral Mutual Legal       | , , |
|     |       | Assistance  | 493 |
|     | 2.    | Domestic Legislation on International Cooperation         | 496 |
|     |       |   |     |

| B. Sı | ıbst                                | antiv | ve Provisions                                   | 498        |  |  |
|-------|-------------------------------------|-------|---|------------|--|--|
| 1.    | Αŗ                                  | plic  | ability of Assistance                           | 498        |  |  |
| 2.    | Ty                                  | pes o | of Assistance                                   | 502        |  |  |
| 3.    | Co                                  | mpa   | atibility with other Arrangements               | 504        |  |  |
| 4.    | Pr                                  | incip | oles, Conditions, and Exceptions Applicable     | 506        |  |  |
|       | a.                                  | Suf   | ficiency of Evidence Requirement                | 506        |  |  |
|       | b.                                  | Dua   | al Criminality                                  | 507        |  |  |
|       | c.                                  | Do    | uble Jeopardy                                   | 508        |  |  |
|       | d.                                  | Sub   | ostantive Considerations of Human Rights        | 514        |  |  |
|       |                                     | i.    | Human Rights Obligation as Ground to Refuse     |            |  |  |
|       |                                     |       | Recognition or Execution of EIO                 | 514        |  |  |
|       |                                     | ii.   | Applicable Human Rights Obligations vis-à-vis   |            |  |  |
|       |                                     |       | Ground for refusal                              | 516        |  |  |
|       | e.                                  | Rec   | ciprocity                                       | 519        |  |  |
|       | f.                                  |       | ciality or Use Limitation                       | 523        |  |  |
|       | g.                                  |       | cial Offenses or National Interest Cases        | 524<br>528 |  |  |
|       | C. Procedural Provisions            |       |   |            |  |  |
|       | 1. Designation of Central Authority |       |   |            |  |  |
| 2.    | Pr                                  | -     | ation of Requests                               | 531        |  |  |
|       | a.                                  | Rec   | quisites for Request/EIO                        | 531        |  |  |
|       |                                     |       | son or Authority Initiating the EIO             | 531        |  |  |
| 3.    |                                     |       | ion of Requests                                 | 533        |  |  |
|       |                                     |       | plicable Law on Execution                       | 533        |  |  |
|       | b.                                  | App   | plicable Procedural Rights                      | 534        |  |  |
|       |                                     | i.    | Importance of Defense Rights; Principle of      |            |  |  |
|       |                                     |       | Equality of Arms                                | 534        |  |  |
|       |                                     | ii.   | Human Rights Considerations in Procedures       |            |  |  |
|       |                                     |       | Provided in the Recognition and Execution of an |            |  |  |
|       |                                     |       | EIO   | 535        |  |  |
|       |                                     | iii.  | Defendant's Participation in the Recognition or |            |  |  |
|       |                                     |       | Execution of an EIO                             | 539        |  |  |
|       | c.                                  |       | plicable Time Limits                            | 542        |  |  |
|       | d.                                  |       | thentication of Documents                       | 545        |  |  |
|       | e.                                  | -     | portance of Confidentiality                     | 545        |  |  |
|       | f.                                  |       | urn of Documents                                | 545        |  |  |
|       | g.                                  | Spe   | ecific Procedures per Type of Assistance        | 546        |  |  |

| III. | Im   | pler        | mentation in Member state: Germany                    | 546 |
|------|------|-------------|---|-----|
|      | A.   | His         | torical Development                                   | 547 |
|      |      | 1. l        | Bilaterial, Regional, and Multilateral Mutual Legal   |     |
|      |      | 1           | Assistance  | 547 |
|      |      | 2. I        | Domestic Legislation on International Cooperation     | 549 |
|      | B. S | Sub         | ostantive Provisions                                  | 549 |
|      |      | 1. /        | Applicability of Assistance                           | 549 |
|      |      | 2.          | Types of Assistance                                   | 551 |
|      |      |             | Compatibility with other Arrangements                 | 552 |
|      |      | <b>4.</b> I | Principles, Conditions, and Exceptions Applicable     | 553 |
|      |      | â           | a. Sufficiency of Evidence Requirement                | 553 |
|      |      | 1           | o. Dual Criminality                                   | 554 |
|      |      | (           | c. Double Jeopardy                                    | 556 |
|      |      | (           | d. Substantive Considerations of Human Rights         | 559 |
|      |      |             | i. Human Rights as a Ground to Refuse Recognition     |     |
|      |      |             | or Execution of an EIO                                | 559 |
|      |      |             | ii. Applicable Human Rights Obligations vis-à-vis     |     |
|      |      |             | Ground for refusal                                    | 561 |
|      |      | 6           | e. Reciprocity  | 563 |
|      |      | f           | E. Speciality or Use Limitation                       | 566 |
|      |      | •           | g. Special Offenses or National Interest Cases        | 568 |
|      | C.   |             | cedural Provisions                                    | 572 |
|      |      |             | Designation of Central Authority                      | 572 |
|      |      |             | Preparation of Requests                               | 573 |
|      |      |             | a. Requisites for the Request/EIO                     | 573 |
|      |      | 1           | o. Person or Authority Initiating the EIO             | 575 |
|      |      | 3. I        | Execution of Requests                                 | 575 |
|      |      |             | a. Applicable Law on Execution                        | 575 |
|      |      | 1           | o. Applicable Procedural Rights                       | 577 |
|      |      |             | i. Defense Rights in the Context of Fair Trial Rights | 577 |
|      |      |             | ii. Human Rights Considerations in the Procedures     |     |
|      |      |             | Provided  | 579 |
|      |      |             | iii. Defendant's Participation in the Recognition or  |     |
|      |      |             | Execution of an EIO                                   | 580 |
|      |      |             | c. Applicable Time Limits                             | 581 |
|      |      | (           | d. Authentication of Documents                        | 583 |
|      |      |             | e. Importance of Confidentiality                      | 583 |
|      |      |             | Return of Documents                                   | 583 |
|      |      | 9           | 2. Specific Procedures per Type of Assistance         | 584 |

| IV.  | Comparing the United Kingdom and Germany with the         |     |
|--|---|-----|
|  | Regional Framework  | 584 |
|  | A. Historical Development of Mutual Legal Assistance:     |     |
|  | Existence of Domestic Legislation                         | 584 |
|  | B. Substantive Provisions                                 | 586 |
|  | 1. Applicability of Assistance                            | 586 |
|  | 2. Types of Assistance                                    | 588 |
|  | 3. Compatibility with Other Agreements                    | 589 |
|  | 4. Principles, Conditions, and Exceptions                 | 590 |
|  | C. Procedural Provisions                                  | 598 |
|  | 1. Usage of Horizontal Cooperation; Designation of        |     |
|  | Authorities   | 598 |
|  | 2. Preparation of Requests                                | 599 |
|  | 3. Execution of Requests                                  | 601 |
|  | a. Applicable Law on Execution                            | 601 |
|  | b. Applicable Procedural Rights                           | 603 |
|  | c. Applicable Time Limits                                 | 605 |
|  | d. Authentication of Documents                            | 606 |
|  | e. Confidentiality  | 607 |
|  | f. Return of Documents                                    | 607 |
|  | g. Specific Procedures                                    | 607 |
| Part 3: Comparing and Contrasting the ASEAN and the EU |   | 608 |
| I.   | Comparing the Regional Frameworks                         | 608 |
|  | A. Development of Principles, Norms and Practices         | 609 |
|  | B. Existing Cooperation Mechanism                         | 617 |
|  | C. Approach to Regional Security and International        |     |
|  | Cooperation   | 623 |
|  | D. Mutual Legal Assistance in Criminal Matters            | 632 |
| II.  | Comparing the Member State Frameworks with Each Other     | 645 |
|  | A. Transposition of law in member states including law in |     |
|  | practice  | 645 |
|  | B. Efficiency   | 652 |
|  | C. Protection of Human Rights and Defense Rights          | 653 |

| Pai   | rt 4: Developing Mutual Legal Assistance between and within the ASEAN and the EU                                     | 661 |
|---|--|-----|
| I.  | Lessons Learned  | 661 |
|   | A. Intergovernmental v. Supranational; Formal v. Informal B. Principle of Non-Intervention and the ASEAN Way v. EU   | 661 |
|   | Normative Power  | 665 |
|   | C. Harmonization v. Approximation; Minimum Standards   | 667 |
| II.   | Suggestions for Developing Mutual Legal Assistance: Least  |     |
|   | Common Denominators  | 668 |
|   | A. Mutual Legal Assistance Within the Regional Frameworks<br>B. Groundwork for the Cooperation Mechanism between the | 668 |
|   | ASEAN and the EU   | 671 |
|   | C. Suggestions for Substantive Provisions  | 672 |
|   | D. Suggestions for Procedural Provisions   | 677 |
| Summary, Conclusion and Further Recommendations |  | 681 |
| Bibliography                                    |  | 687 |
|   |  |     |

https://www.nomos-shop.de/isbn/978-3-8487-7983-3

ACC ASEAN Coordinating Council

ACDM ASEAN Committee on Disaster Management

ACTC ASEAN Center for Combating Transnational Crime

ADGC ASEAN Directors-General of Customs

AEC ASEAN Economic Community
AEMM ASEAN-EU Ministerial Meetings

AFAS ASEAN Framework Agreement on Services

AFM ASEAN Finance Ministers

AFSJ Area of Freedom, Security and Justice

AFTA ASEAN Free Trade Area

AHRD ASEAN Human Rights Declaration

AIA Asian Investment Area

AICCM Act on International Cooperation in Criminal Matters
AICHR ASEAN Intergovernmental Commission on Human Rights

ALMM ASEAN Law Ministers Meeting
AMLC Anti-Money Laundering Council

AMMTC ASEAN Ministers' Meeting on Transnational Crime

ARC Asian Relations Conference ARF ASEAN Regional Forum

ARGUS Networking of Rapid Alert Systems
ARO Asian Relations Organisation

ASA Association of Southeast Asian ASC ASEAN Security Community

ASCC ASEAN Socio-Cultural Community
ASEAN Association of Southeast Asian Nations

ASEAN MLAT Treaty on Mutual Legal Assistance in Criminal Matters among

Like-Minded ASEAN Member Countries

ASEAN-6 Association of Southeast Asian Nations Members Indonesia,

Malaysia, Singapore, Thailand, Philippines, Brunei

ASEANAPOL ASEAN Chiefs of Police Association

ASEAN-CMLV ASEAN Members Cambodia, Myanmar, Laos, Vietnam

ASEM Asia-Europe Meetings

ASLOM ASEAN Senior Law Officials' Meeting
ASOD ASEAN Senior Officals on Drug Matters

ASP ASEAN Surveillance Program
ASPAC Asia and Pacific Council
BDSG Federal Data Protection Act
CCP Common Commercial Policy

CFI Court of First Instance

CFR Charter of Fundamental Rights

CFSP Common Foreign and Security Policy

CICA Crime (International Cooperation) Act of 2003

CIS Customs Information System

CISA Convention Implementing the Schengen Agreement
CIWIN Critical Infrastructure Warning Information Network

CJA Criminal Justice Act

CJEU Court of Justice of the European Union
COMECON Council for Mutual Economic Assistance
CPIB Corrupt Practices Investigation Bureau

DEIO Directive on the European Investigation Order

DFA Department of Foreign Affairs

DG Directorate-General

DGICM ASEAN Directors-General of Immigration Departments and

Heads of Consular Affairs of the Ministries of Foreign Affairs

DILG Department of Interior and Local Government

DNA Deoxyribonucleic Acid
DOJ Department of Justice

DPP Director of Public Prosecutions
DRET Democratic Republic of East Timor

EAS East Asia Summit

EAW European Arrest Warrant ECB European Central Bank

ECHR European Convention on Human Rights

ECI European Court of Justice

ECOFIN Council of Economic and Finance Ministers
ECRIS Criminal Records Information System
ECSC European Coal and Steel Community

ECST European Convention on the Suppression of Terrorism

ECtHR European Court of Human Rights

ECU European Currency Unit

EDC European Defence Community
EEA European Economic Area

EEC European Economic Community

EEW European Evidence Warrant

EFSP European Foreign and Security Policy
EFTA European Free Trade Association
EIO European Investigation Order
EJN European Judicial Network

EJTN European Judicial Training Network

EMS European Monetary System
ENP European Neighbourhood Policy
EPC European Political Community

EPC European Political Cooperation

EPCIP European Programme for Critical Infrastructure Protection

EPG Eminent Persons Group

EU European Union

EURATOM European Atomic Energy Community

EUROPOL European Police Office
FCC Federal Constitutional Court
FIU Financial Intelligence Unit
FOI Freedom of Information

FRETILIN Revolutionary Front for an Independent East Timor

FSP Foreign and Security Policy GDP Gross Domestic Product

HMRC Her Majesty's Revenue and Customs

HRA Human Rights Act

HSU Heads of Specialist Trafficking Units

IAD International Affairs Division

IAR International Authority for the Ruhr
IBP Integrated Bar of the Philippines

ICAC Independent Commission against Corruption

ICCPR International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights

IGC Intergovernmental Conference IMF International Monetary Fund

INTERPOL International Criminal Police Organization

IRG Gesetz über die internationale Rechtshilfe in Strafsachen (Act

on International Cooperation in Criminal Matters)

JHA Justice and Home Affairs

KPK KomisiPemberantasanKorupsi (Corruption Eradicating Cor-

ruption)

MACC Malaysian Anti-Corruption Commission

MACMA Mutual Assistance in Criminal Matters Act 2002

MAPHILINDO Regional Organization by Malaysia, Philippines and Indonesia

MLA Mutual Legal Assistance

MLAT Treaty on Mutual Legal Assistance
MOU Memorandum of Understanding
NAM Non-Alignment Movement

NATO North Atlantic Treaty Organization
NBI National Bureau of Investigation

NTS Non-Traditional Security

OCSC Office of the Chief State Counsel

OECD Organization of Economic Cooperation and Development

OEEC Organization for European Economic Cooperation

PACE Police and Criminal Evidence Act 1984

PMC Post-Ministerial Conferences
PNP Philippine National Police
PNR Passenger Name Record

RiVASt Guidelines on International Cooperation in Criminal Mat-

ters (Richtlinien für den Verkehr mit dem Ausland in

strafrechtlichen Angelegenheiten)

QMV Qualified Majority Voting SAR Special Administrative Area

SEA Single European Act

SEAC Supreme Allied Command in Southeast Asia SEANWFZ Southeast Asian Nuclear Weapons Free Zone

SEATO Southeast Asian Treaty Organization

SGP Stability and Growth Pact
SIS II Schengen Information System

SOMTC Senior Officials Meeting on Transnational Crime

TAC Treaty of Amity and Cooperation
TEU Treaty on the European Union

TFEU Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union

ToA Treaty of Amsterdam

TOC Transnational Organized Crime

TOR Terms of Reference

TREVI Terrorism, Radicalism, Extremism, and International Violence

UK United Kingdom

UKCA United Kingdom Central Authority

UNCAC United Nations Convention Against Corruption
UNCOC United Nations Convention on Corruption

UNTOC United Nations Convention on Transnational Organized

Crime

USA United States of America
VAP Vientiane Action Programme
VIS Visa Information System

VOC Dutch East India Company (VereenigdeOostindische Compag-

nie)

ZOPFAN Zone of Peace, Freedom, and Neutrality

https://www.nomos-shop.de/isbn/978-3-8487-7983-3