

Flows of Chilean Water Governance

Social Innovations in Defiance of Mistrust and Fragmented Institutions



Sustainable Development in the 21st Century

Editor

Andreas Rechkemmer, Hamad Bin Khalifa University, Doha

Editorial Board

Kevin Collins, The Open University, Milton Keynes
Sven Bernhard Gareis, WWU Münster
Edgar Grande, WZB Berlin Social Science Center
Hartmut Ihne, Hochschule Bonn-Rhein-Sieg
Maria Ivanova, University of Massachusetts Boston
Uwe Schneidewind, Wuppertal Institute
Wilhelm Vossenkuhl, Ludwig Maximilians University of Munich

Ilka Roose

Flows of Chilean Water Governance

Social Innovations in Defiance of Mistrust and Fragmented Institutions



Erstgutachter: Prof. Dr. Dirk Messner Zweitgutachter: Prof. Dr. Uwe Schneidewind

Tag der Disputation: 28.11.2019

Diese Arbeit wurde vom Fachbereich Gesellschaftswissenschaften der Universität Duisburg-Essen als Dissertation zur Erlangung des Doktorgrades (Dr. rer. pol.) genehmigt.

© Coverpicture: NASA Earth Observatory image by Joshua Stevens, using MODIS data from the Land Atmosphere Near real-time Capability for EOS (LANCE). Caption by Kathryn Hansen.

The Deutsche Nationalbibliothek lists this publication in the Deutsche Nationalbibliografie; detailed bibliographic data

are available on the Internet at http://dnb.d-nb.de

a.t.: Duisburg/Essen, Univ., Diss., 2019 ISBN 978-3-8487-6930-8 (Print) 978-3-7489-1019-0 (ePDF)

British Library Cataloguing-in-Publication Data

A catalogue record for this book is available from the British Library.

ISBN 978-3-8487-6930-8 (Print) 978-3-7489-1019-0 (ePDF)

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Roose, Ilka

Flows of Chilean Water Governance

Social Innovations in Defiance of Mistrust and Fragmented Institutions Ilka Roose

259 pp.

Includes bibliographic references.

ISBN 978-3-8487-6930-8 (Print)

978-3-7489-1019-0 (ePDF)



Onlineversion Nomos eLibrary

1. Edition 2020

© Nomos Verlagsgesellschaft, Baden-Baden, Germany 2020. Printed and bound in Germany.

This work is subject to copyright. All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying, recording, or any information storage or retrieval system. without prior permission in writing from the publishers. Under §54 of the German Copyright Law where copies are made for other than private use a fee is payable to "Verwertungsgesellschaft Wort", Munich.

No responsibility for loss caused to any individual or organization acting on or refraining from action as a result of the material in this publication can be accepted by Nomos or the author.

Acknowledgments

This study was accepted as a dissertation in political sciences at the University of Duisburg-Essen (UDE) in the winter semester of 2019/20. I would like to thank the editors of the series »Sustainable Development in the 21st Century« for accepting the study and in particular Prof. Dr. Andreas Rechkemmer and Nomos for the good collaboration.

Throughout the writing of this dissertation I have received great support and assistance. Firstly, I would like to express my deep gratitude to Professor Dr. Dirk Messner and Professor Dr. Uwe Schneidewind, my research supervisors, for their patient guidance, encouragement and useful critique of this research work. Special thanks go out to Dr. Alex Godoy. It is owing to his extraordinary professional and personal qualities that I received the best mentoring I could wish for during my field stays in Chile. Furthermore, I deeply appreciate the professional and kind conversations with Dr. Annabelle Houdret.

This dissertation has benefited from the lively exchange of ideas with many friends and colleagues affiliated to the ARUS program at the UDE. I would like to thank all the members of the program for their fruitful advice and support. In particular, I would like to thank Dr. Elke Hochmuth, Professor Dr. Alexander J. Schmidt and PD Dr. Ani Melkonyan.

Moreover, I would like to thank the German Federal Enterprise for Academic Exchange Service (DAAD) and the Heinrich Böll Foundation for supporting this work with financial and ideological scholarships. Especially, I appreciate the enriching conversations with the head of the Heinrich Böll Office in Chile, Dr. Ingrid Wehr.

This study is based on many field visits that would not have been as fruitful without the generous support of many institutions and scholars in Chile. Firstly, I would like to thank the Universidad de Desarollo for its trust. Moreover, I would like to thank the Pontificia Univerdad Católica de Valparaíso and in particular the members of the Programa de Gestión Hídrica, Professor Eduardo Salgado, Nieggiorba Livellara, Marcela Sotomayor, Virginia Bravo, Carolina Olivares, Fernando Varas, and Viviana Álvarez, for their patience and assistance to my many questions and for making me feel like a member of their team. Likewise, I would like to thank the members of Ecosistemas, Juan Pablo Orrego, Mitzi Urtubia, Paulina Jineo and Patricia Salgado.

Acknowledgments

Without the warmest hospitality and friendship of the family Ardiles Vilches, I would not have been able to conduct this study. Moreover, I would also like to extend my thanks to Mario and Haydee, Terry and Eduardo for their generosity and encouragement.

Most importantly, I am very thankful to all my interview partners for opening their doors and minds to me and for sharing their precious time. I am deeply grateful for the trust they gave to me and my work.

Finally, I wish to thank my family and friends for their loving support, encouragement and patience throughout my study. I would like to especially mention Anika, Andrea and Luis. Furthermore, I am greatly indebted to countless persons who have inspired my professional and personal life throughout the last years and motivated me to do my best.

June 2020 Ilka Roose

Contents

	nowledgments	5
Cont		7
	of Boxes, Figures and Tables	10
List	of Abbreviations	13
Part	1:Introduction	15
1.	Chile against the current: water governance facing climate	
	change	15
1.1	Zooming into Chile: spatial patterns of water conflicts	19
1.2	The emblematic case of Petorca	22
2.	Research question	23
Part	2:Conceptual framework and theoretical background	25
3.	Definition of central terms	25
4.	Conceptualizing and methods	27
5.	An institutionalist perspective on social-ecological systems	33
5.1	Contributions of Ostrom's social-ecological system framework	
	to this study	46
5.2	Actor-centered institutionalism in the light of water governance	49
	5.2.1 Actors	50
	5.2.2 Action orientation	52
	5.2.3 Action situation and scope of action	53
	5.2.4 Actors constellations and modes of action	53
5.3	Syntheses and the role of game theory and social innovation	55
6.	Hypotheses	60
_		
Part	3:Results	68
7.	The social-ecological system of Petorca Province	68
7.1	Social, economic, and political setting	71
7.2	Related ecosystems	82
7.3	Resource system and resource unit	87
7.4	Governance systems	92

Contents

7.5	Actor	s and action orientation	103
	7.5.1	Actor group: civil society	106
		7.5.1.1 Local leaders	106
		7.5.1.2 Activists	113
		7.5.1.3 Citizens	121
	7.5.2	Actor group: governmental bodies and authorities	129
		7.5.2.1 Local authorities	129
		7.5.2.2 Regional authorities	136
		7.5.2.2 National authorities	142
	7.5.3	Actor group: economy	148
		7.5.3.1 Large-scale agriculture	148
		7.5.3.2 Water provider	159
	7.5.4	Others	161
		7.5.4.1 Padre Fernando	161
		7.5.4.2 Susana	164
		7.5.4.3 Maria	166
		7.5.4.4 Alfredo	169
Part -	4:Discu	ssion	172
8.	The fo	ocal action situation: flows of water governance in	
	Petoro		172
8.1		et-based institutional framework	173
8.2		ns of interaction orientation	181
		Individualistic behavior, competition and low	
		eration	182
		Cooperative quality of collaborative projects in the field	185
		Social innovations in Petorca	191
8.3		anisms of market-based water governance and low	
		eration	194
		Low level of trust	195
		Transparency restricted by communication gaps and	
		biases	196
		Lack of enforcement	198
		Inner, experienced heuristics, norms and rules	200
		Ambiguous impact of ecological factors	210
		Conection factors: institutional entropy and inequality	211
8.4		rage points for enhanced cooperation	216
		Fostering transparency with the help of trust-worthy	
		facilitators	217
		Overcoming heterogeneity and rejection of politics by	
	ŀ	nighlighting social and economic benefits	220

		Contents
	8.4.3 Establishing information and fairness by	
	self-organization and institutional bricolage	222
	8.4.4 Remaining challenges of social innovations	224
8.5	From here to where? Towards polycentric water governance	;
	on river basin scale	226
	8.5.1 Politics and polity suggestions	229
	8.5.2 Policy suggestions	234
	, 33	
Part	5: Conclusions	236
9.	Empirical findings	236
10.	Theoretical and practical significance of this study	239
11.	Limitations of this study and recommendations for future	
	research	242
D 0		244
References		244

List of Boxes, Figures and Tables

Box 1:	Outstanding conflicts in Chile between 2015-2016	76
Box 2:	Description of coordinating body taking the example	
	of Oficina de Asuntos Hidricos	232
Figure 1:	The names and number of all Chilean administrative	
	regions and climatic regions. (Aitken et al. 2016)	20
Figure 2:	Actor mind map template.	
	(Own illustration based on Scharpf 1997)	32
Figure 3:	The cooperation hexagon.	
	(Adapted from Messner et al. 2013: 15)	58
Figure 4:	Synthesis of SESF and AI. (Adapted from McGinnis	
	and Ostrom 2014: 4 modified by Roose based on	
	Scharpf 2006)	60
Figure 5:	Upward spiral of social innovations. (Own illustration)	67
Figure 6:	Map of Petorca Province. (Adapted from DGA 2012	
	in CNR and Universidad de Concepción 2016: 26	
	modified by Roose)	69
Figure 7:	Income poverty in Petorca Province.	
	(Data from BCN 2018a, b, c)	73
Figure 8:	Groundwater use Petorca River basin.	
	(Own illustration derieved from data from DGA 2012	
	in CNR and Universidad de Concepción 2016: 232)	90
Figure 9:	Groundwater use in La Ligua river basin	
	(Own illustration derieved from data from DGA 2012	
	in CNR and Universidad de Concención 2016: 232)	90

List of Boxes, Figures and Tables

Figure 10:	Complex scenario of collective action in Petorca.	
	(Own illustration based on Ostrom 2005: 59).	195
Figure 11:	Impact of social innovations (colored in red) on	
	complex scenario of collective action in Petorca.	
	(Own illustration based on Ostrom 2005: 59)	217
Figure 12:	Polycentric water governance on river basin scale.	
	(Own illustration)	229
Table 1:	Types of actors in water governance. Categories of	
	AI shaded in grey. (Derieved from Hourdret and	
	Shabafrouz 2006, Scharpf 2006 and modified by Roose)	51
Table 2:	Categories and tiers. (Based on McGinnis and Ostrom	
	2014 and Roose)	70
Table 3:	Population growth, age and gender structure in Petorca,	
	La Ligua and Cabildo Districts.	
	(Data from BCN 2018a, b, c)	74
Table 4:	Reported crimes per year in Petorca, La Ligua and	
	Cabildo Districts. (Data from BCN 2018a, b, c)	77
Table 5:	Basic data about La Ligua River and Petorca River.	
	(Data from DGA 2018)	88
Table 6:	Water rights and water availability in Petorca Province.	
	(Data from MOP and DGA 2015)	91
Table 7:	Rule-making organizations. Public Sector.	
	(Aldunce et al. 2015 modified by Roose)	94
Table 8:	Rule-making organizations. Private Sector and Civil	
	Society. (Aldunce et al. 2015 modified by Roose)	95
Table 9:	Selected actor groups for interview analysis.	
	(Own research)	105
Table 10:	Local leaders. (Own research)	107

List of Boxes, Figures and Tables

Table 11:	Activists. (Own research)	114
Table 12:	Citizens. (Own research)	122
Table 13:	Local authorities. (Own research)	129
Table 14:	Regional authorities. (Own research)	136
Table 15:	National authorities. (Own research)	142
Table 16:	Large-scale agriculture. (Own research)	149
Table 17:	Water provider. (Own research)	159
Table 18:	Padre Fernando. (Own research)	162
Table 19:	Susana. (Own research)	164
Table 20:	Maria. (Own research)	166
Table 21:	Alfredo. (Own research)	169
Table 22:	Orientation of Interaction between actor groups in	
	Petorca Province. (Own research)	183
Table 23:	Large-scale projects aiming to solve water scarcity in	
	Petorca. (Own research)	187
Table 24:	Social innovations (SI) in Petorca Province.	
	(Based on TEPSIE (2014: 15) and	
	Scheidewind et al. (1997) and own research)	191

List of Abbreviations

AC Asociaciones de Canalistas (Canal Aassociation)

AI Actor-centered institutionalism (Mayntz and Scharf 1995)

APR Comité o asociación de agua potable rural (Potable water associa-

tion or committee)

CASF Comunidad de Aguas superficiales (Surface water community
CAST Comunidad de Aguas subterráneas (Groundwater community)
CNR Comisión Nacional de Riego (National Irrigation Commission)
CONADI Corporación Nacional de Desarrollo Indígena (National Indige-

nous Development Corporation)

CORFO Corporación de Fomento de la Producción (Chilean economic de-

velopment agency)

CPR Common-pool resources

DGA Dirreción Nacional de Aguas (National Water Directive)

DOH Dirreción de Obras Hidraulicas (Directive of Hydraulic Works)
FIA Fundación para la innovación agraria (Foundation for Agricultur-

al Innovation)

INDAP Instituto de Desarrollo Agropecuario (Institute of Farming Devel-

opment)

IAD Institutional analysis and development framework (Ostrom 2005a)

JV Junta de Vigilancia

LSAA Large-scale agriculture association M_1 Mechanisms underlying hipothesis 1 M_2 Mechanisms underlying hipothesis 2

MMA Ministerio del Medio Ambiente (Ministry of Environment)

MINAGRI Ministerio de Agricultura (Ministry of Agricultura)

MODATIMA Movimiento de Defensa por el acceso al Agua, la Tierra y la

Protección del Medioambiente (Movement in Defense of Access to

Water, Land and Environmental Protection)

MOP Ministerio de Obras Públicas (Ministry of Public Works)

OAH Oficina de Asuntos Hídricos

ONEMI Oficina Nacional de Emergencia del Ministerio del Interior y

Seguridad Pública (National Emergeny Office of the Ministriy of

Interior Affairs and Public Security)

PGH Programa de Gestión Hídrica

PRODESAL Programa de Desarollo Local (Local Development Program)

PUCV Pontificia Universidad Católica de Valparaíso

List of Abbreviations

SESF Social-ecological system framework (Ostrom 2007, 2009)

SISS Superintendencia de Servicios Sanitarios (Superintendence of San-

itary Services)

SI Social innovation

SUBDERE Subsecretaría de Desarrollo Regional y Administrativo (Undersec-

retariat for Regional and Administrative Development)

UTM Unidades tribunals mensuales (monthly fiscal unit)

WUO Water user organization