

Catharina Hübner

# The Legitimacy of the Afghan Amnesty Law under International Law



**Nomos**

Leipzig Studies on International,  
European and Foreign Public Law

Edited by  
Prof. Dr. Helmut Goerlich  
Prof. Dr. Franz Häuser  
Prof. Dr. Markus Kotzur

Institute for International Law, European Law and  
Foreign Public Law at the University of Leipzig

Volume 23

Catharina Hübner

# The Legitimacy of the Afghan Amnesty Law under International Law



**Nomos**

**The Deutsche Nationalbibliothek** lists this publication in the Deutsche Nationalbibliografie; detailed bibliographic data are available on the Internet at <http://dnb.d-nb.de>

a.t.: Leipzig, Univ., Diss., 2020

ISBN 978-3-8487-7910-9 (Print)  
978-3-7489-2237-7 (ePDF)

#### **British Library Cataloguing-in-Publication Data**

A catalogue record for this book is available from the British Library.

ISBN 978-3-8487-7910-9 (Print)  
978-3-7489-2237-7 (ePDF)

#### **Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data**

Hübner, Catharina

The Legitimacy of the Afghan Amnesty Law under International Law  
Catharina Hübner

339 pp.

Includes bibliographic references.

ISBN 978-3-8487-7910-9 (Print)  
978-3-7489-2237-7 (ePDF)



Onlineversion  
Nomos eLibrary

1st Edition 2020

© Nomos Verlagsgesellschaft, Baden-Baden, Germany 2020. Overall responsibility for manufacturing (printing and production) lies with Nomos Verlagsgesellschaft mbH & Co. KG.

This work is subject to copyright. All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying, recording, or any information storage or retrieval system, without prior permission in writing from the publishers. Under § 54 of the German Copyright Law where copies are made for other than private use a fee is payable to "Verwertungsgesellschaft Wort", Munich.

No responsibility for loss caused to any individual or organization acting on or refraining from action as a result of the material in this publication can be accepted by Nomos or the author.

## Preface

This doctoral thesis was undertaken after the completion of my LL.M. in European and International Law at the Department of European, International and Public Law at the University of Leipzig (Germany).

I was inspired to write this thesis after observing contradictory developments in international (criminal) law and discussing these topics with my doctoral supervisor Prof. Dr. Markus Kotzur, LL.M. (Duke Univ.). For these insightful discussions and for Prof. Dr. Kotzur's continual support, suggestions for further thinking, and unwavering confidence in me and my dissertation, I am especially grateful.

At the beginning of my doctoral studies, exchange with various scientists from the Max Planck Institute for the Study of Crime, Security and Law (formerly Max Planck Institute for Foreign and International Criminal Law) and the Max Planck Institute for Comparative Public Law and International Law was also of great importance to me and my work. Especially valuable was the expert opinion of Prof. Dr. Anja Seibert-Fohr, LL.M. (GWU), at the time a research assistant at the Max Planck Institute for Comparative Public Law and International Law, who I would like to thank for her input. The staff from the Rule of Law Unit at the United Nations Assistance Mission in Afghanistan (UNAMA) was also extremely helpful. I would like to take this opportunity to thank them for their willingness to support my work.

I would also like to thank Prof. Dr. Stephanie Schiedermaier for providing the second opinion on my dissertation and for her helpful and pleasant support in the final phase of the doctorate.

I am also grateful for the financial support provided by the Free State of Saxony in the form of a scholarship.

My special thanks go to my family and friends. Without their support, their encouragement, their constructive criticism and above all their faith in this project I would not have been able to finish it so successfully.

Berlin, August 20<sup>th</sup>, 2020

*Catharina Hübner*



## Table of Contents

1	Introduction	11
1.1	Purpose of the Study	13
1.2	Methodology and Interdisciplinarity	16
1.3	Outline of the Chapters	17
2	Amnesties in the Age of Accountability	19
2.1	Amnesties in General	24
2.2	The Case of Afghanistan – History of Conflicts	26
2.3	The Afghan Amnesty Law of 2010	32
3	The Legitimacy of the Afghan Amnesty Law under International Law	39
3.1	Is the Afghan Amnesty Law Consistent with Relevant International Treaties?	40
3.1.1	Does the Afghan Amnesty Law Violate Explicit Statutory Provisions on Amnesties?	46
3.1.2	Does the Afghan Amnesty Law Violate Other Statutory Provisions?	49
3.1.3	The Afghan Amnesty Law and the Rome Statute of the International Criminal Court	64
3.1.4	Conclusion	74
3.2	Does Customary International Law Preclude the Afghan Amnesty Law?	78
3.2.1	State Practice Concerning Amnesties	84
3.2.1.1	Latin American States	87
3.2.1.2	African States	110
3.2.1.3	Asian States	120
3.2.1.4	European States and the US	129
3.2.1.5	States on Foreign Amnesties	136
3.2.1.6	Conclusion	138
3.2.2	The United Nations on Amnesties	142

*Table of Contents*

3.2.3	The Afghan Amnesty Law and Customary International Law	152
3.3	Do the General Principles of Law Preclude the Afghan Amnesty Law?	156
3.4	How Does International Jurisprudence Assess Amnesty Laws?	158
3.4.1	The Inter-American Human Rights System	160
3.4.2	The Special Court for Sierra Leone	165
3.4.3	The European Court of Human Rights	169
3.4.4	The International Criminal Tribunal for the former Yugoslavia	171
3.4.5	The Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia	172
3.4.6	Conclusion	175
3.5	How Do Scholars Assess Amnesties?	177
3.5.1	Amnesty Guidelines	179
3.5.2	Is the Afghan Amnesty Law Consistent with these Amnesty Guidelines?	188
3.6	Conclusion	193
4	Determination of the Conditions under which an Afghan Amnesty Law would be Legitimate under International Law	203
4.1	Democratic Legitimacy of the Amnesty Law	213
4.2	The Scope of the Amnesty Law	217
4.3	Prosecution of Serious International Crimes and Other Serious Acts of Violence against Persons	220
4.3.1	National Courts	228
4.3.2	<i>Ad hoc</i> International Tribunal	232
4.3.3	Hybrid Court	234
4.3.4	The International Criminal Court	239
4.3.5	Universal Jurisdiction	249
4.3.6	Conclusion	252
4.4	The Role of Traditional Justice Processes	254
4.5	Encouraging Participation in Disarmament, Demobilisation and Reintegration Programmes	260
4.6	Testifying before a Truth Commission	264
4.7	Reparations for Victims	273



*Table of Contents*

4.8 Institutional Reforms	278
4.9 Conclusion	286
5 Final Remarks and Future Prospects	290
6 References	301

